AutoML Weeks 2024





Date: September 2nd - 6th 2024

Place: Hannover, Germany

www.automlschool.org

AUTOML24

International Conference on Automated Machine Learning

September 09.-12. in Paris



Open Calls for Workshop Track, Journals, Tutorials, & nontraditional Content!

<u>www.automl.cc</u>



Green AutoM

Towards Multi-objective Green AutoML

It's not only accuracy that matters!





Energy Consumption of Data Centers





<u>Chevron-double-up Icons</u> by Laisa Islam Ani <u>Graph Icons</u> by Secret Studio <u>Chip Icons</u> by srip

Better predictive performance (e.g., accuracy)

 \Rightarrow other quality indicators (e.g., energy efficiency) are often ignored

Scaling to larger models (e.g., LLMs)

- \Rightarrow AutoML needs to be more efficient
 - (e.g., via multi-fidelity optimization or expert knowledge integration)
- ⇒ Mindset is less on energy efficiency but to apply AutoML to ever larger models (each of training of them requires more and more energy)



Adaptation to different hardware constraints

(e.g., embedded systems, smartphones)

- ⇒ Main objective: How can I get the best out of an AI on a given hardware module?
- \Rightarrow Rarely: How can I achieve the best with the fewest possible resources?



Towards Green AutoML

Hierarchy of Green AutoML







Multi-Objective SMAC for HPO & AC

Marius Lindauer: Towards Multi-objective Green AutoML

slides will be available at www.automl.org

8



Towards Multi-Objective SMAC





Multi-Objective Multi-Fidelity SMAC

ParEGO

- Sample a scalarization in each iteration $c = \max_{i=1,...,m} (w_i \cdot c^i(\theta)) + \rho \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \cdot c^i(\theta) ,$
- Train a single model & proceed as always

Successive Halving / Hyperband

- Run successive halving as always by using the scalarized metric above
- Check whether the configurations evaluated on the highest budget should be part of the Pareto Front



Img Source: Bischl@AutoML MOOC



Multi-Objective Algorithm Configuration

It can be done better:

- MO-SMAC has the potential to outperform MO-ParamILS (Bayesian-Optimization based AC vs. Local-Search based AC)
- On average, ParEGO-variant is not the best MOO approach
- → More details in Jeroen's talk in a few minutes





Leveraging AutoML for Sustainable Deep Learning: A Multi-Objective HPO Approach on Deep Shift Neural Networks [Hennig et al. 2024]





Deep Shift Neural Networks [Elhoushi et al. 2019]



By converting parameters and operations into their bit representations, precision is reduced and the computing effort is minimized.





Approach

- Combination of multi-fidelity optimization and multi-objective optimization (using ParEGO) using SMAC3
 - a. Scalarize
 - b. Sample configurations based on surrogate model
 - c. Run Hyperband
- Optimizing for accuracy vs. emissions (CO2eq)
- Evaluation on CIFAR10 with a base architecture of ResNet20

Hyperparameter	Search Space	Default
Batch Size	[32, 128]	128
Optimizer	{SGD, Adam, Adagrad, Adadelta, RMSProp, RAdam, Ranger}	SGD
Learning Rate	[0.001, 0.1]	0.1
Momentum	[0.0, 0.9]	0.9
Weight Decay	[1e-6, 1e-2]	0.0001
Weight Bits	[2, 8]	5
Activation Integer Bits	[2, 32]	16
Activation Fraction Bits	[2, 32]	16
Shift Depth	[0, 20]	20
Shift Type	{Q, PS}	PS
Rounding	{deterministic, stochastic}	deterministic



Results & Insights

- Tradeoff is fragile in both objectives
- Config2 improves accuracy by 2.63% absolutely and emissions by 32% in terms of relative improvement
- A bunch of insights into how to design DSNNs effectively, see [Hennig et al. 2024; extended version submitted to ECAI]





Hyperparameter Importance Analysis for Multi-Objective AutoML

[Theodorakopoulos et al. 2024]



Marius Lindauer: Towards Multi-objective Green AutoML

Uneck out our poster in the chay



Multi-Objective fANOVA

fANOVA for HPI
 [Hutter et al. 2014]:
 How much of the performance variance in the entire configuration space can be explained by varying one (or several)
 HP I HP 2 HP 3 HP 4



• Main idea:

Iterate over all possible scalarizations

- Train a surrogate model on scalarized performance
- evaluate fANOVA



Ablation Paths

- Traditional ablation study:
 - Change only a single design decision and evaluate it
- Greed Ablation Paths [Fawcett and Hoos. 2016]



LUHAI Lo 2 Hannover

Multi-Objective Ablation Paths

- Same idea as before: (i) Scaralization + (ii) Running Ablation Path
- Reference point: Default configuration



8



Exemplary Results & Important Insights

- For different objectives, different hyperparameters are (potentially) important
 - Some hyperparameters might be important for all tradeoffs
 - How can we exploit this insight in building better MOO-HPO algorithms?
- For a tradeoff of objectives, more hyperparameters are important







Conclusions

Marius Lindauer: Towards Multi-objective Green AutoML

slides will be available at www.automl.org

21



Take Home Messages

- MOO is a key towards better designed models and algorithms
- There is a lot to learn from applying multi-objective optimization to different AutoML problems
 - both in terms of the underlying target, as well as the AutoML problem
- Future work:
 - Integration into () DeepCAVE
 - Studying the landscape of MOO-AutoML problems?
 - Taking into account changing hyperparameter importance

• We can be part of the solution!







Q



Institute of Artificial Intelligence

 Institute
 Studies
 Research

 Institute
 Open Positions



OPEN POSITIONS

(Post)Doctoral Researcher (m/f/d) within the field of Automated Machine Learning

Funded by:







Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Nuclear Safety and Consumer Protection

ation, **Niedersachsen**











European Research Council



LUH|AI

D LUH-AI

🕨 @luh-ai





